

CHARITABLE LEAD TRUST HANDBOOK



Gregory W. Baker, J.D., ChFC[®], CFP[®], CAP
Executive Vice President

Ted R. Batson, Jr., MBA, CPA, CFP[®],
Executive Vice President

About Renaissance

Founded in 1987, Renaissance is the nation's largest independent provider of charitable gift administration services. Renaissance supports financial advisors, estate planning attorneys, accountants and other financial professionals in designing and implementing charitable gifts to solve the financial and philanthropic goals of clients. With more than \$2.1 billion in charitable assets and over 7,000 charitable gifts under administration, our expertise is second to none. Our advanced consulting services can help you address the challenge of dealing with complicated, unusual, or sophisticated assets.

DISCLAIMER

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. It is provided with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting or other tax consulting services. If legal or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought. The information contained herein is merely an overview of an extremely complex area of tax and estate planning law and must not be construed as providing legal, tax or accounting advice. This material should not be relied upon for any particular situation without first consulting with an appropriate professional advisor.

Renaissance and the authors hereby disclaim any and all responsibility or liability, which may be asserted or claimed arising from or claimed to have arisen from reliance upon the procedures and information or utilization by anyone of the forms, ideas or concepts set forth in this material.

Circular 230 Notice - To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Copyright © 2003 - 2011

Renaissance

6100 W. 96th St., Ste. 100, Indianapolis, IN 46278

800-843-0050

info@reninc.com

www.CharitableTrust.com

Charitable Lead Trust Handbook

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Parties to a Charitable Lead Trust.....	1
Types of Charitable Lead Trusts	2
Designing the CLT	3
Drafting the CLT	6
Computing and Claiming the Income, Estate, and Gift Tax Charitable Deductions	9
Operation and Administration	9
Investments	12
Gift, Estate, and GST Tax Considerations	13
Post-mortem Planning with CLTs.....	14
Disclaimer Planning with CLTs.....	15
Benefits to Donors.....	15
Appendix A – IRS Charitable Lead Trust Statistics	16

Charitable Lead Trust Handbook

By

Gregory W. Baker, J.D., ChFC[®], CFP[®], CAP

Ted R. Batson, Jr., MBA, CPA, CFP[®]

Introduction

A charitable lead trust (CLT) combines a donor's philanthropic values with his or her financial values. A CLT is an irrevocable agreement in which a donor transfers assets to a trust that creates a current income, or lead, interest payable to a charity. The trust's remainder interest either comes back to the donor or passes to some other non-charitable beneficiary—typically the donor's heirs. The charitable interest can be designated for the benefit of one or more charitable beneficiaries, including public charities, donor-advised funds, supporting organizations, and private foundations.

Parties to a Charitable Lead Trust

In its most basic form, a charitable lead trust consists of an arrangement between four parties: 1) a donor; 2) a trustee; 3) a charitable income beneficiary; and 4) a non-charitable remainder beneficiary. The donor enters into a trust agreement with the trustee to transfer certain assets to be managed and maintained by the trustee. In accepting the assets, the trustee agrees to pay an income stream to one or more charitable income beneficiaries for a specified period of time. At the expiration of the trust term, the trustee delivers the remaining trust assets to one or more non-charitable remainder beneficiaries.

The Donor. Any individual, corporation, partnership, LLC, or trust can be a CLT donor; however, because the most significant tax benefit obtained from creating and funding a CLT is a transfer tax charitable deduction, most often the donor is an individual. An individual donor can create a CLT during life or at death. Transfers to a CLT may qualify for one or more of the following charitable deductions: 1) income tax; 2) gift tax; 3) estate tax; and/or 4) generation-skipping transfer (GST) tax.

The Trustee. The CLT trustee may be an individual or an institution such as a bank or charity. While the donor may serve as trustee of a grantor CLT, only in rare circumstances should the donor and/or the donor's spouse serve as trustee of a non-grantor or "Super" CLT.¹ When an individual serves as trustee, it is possible to unbundle the traditional trustee services (fiduciary decision-making, investment management, and CLT administration), permitting the trustee to hire best-in-class service providers for investment management and CLT administration. Charities and banks can utilize this same outsourcing approach for investment management and CLT administration.

The Charitable Income Beneficiary. The charitable lead interest in a CLT may benefit a public charity (e.g., the donor's alma mater, a religious institution, the United Way, etc.) or a privately-controlled charity (e.g., a private foundation). A third possibility

¹ The types of CLTs are discussed below.

is to split the income interest among several charities such as "2/3 to my alma mater and 1/3 to my private foundation."

The charitable income beneficiaries of many CLTs are named irrevocably. However, it is not uncommon in grantor CLTs for the donor to retain the power to change the charitable income beneficiaries. For non-grantor and "Super" CLTs, the donor should not have this power due to potential estate tax inclusion concerns. Nevertheless, granting the power to change the charitable income beneficiaries to the donor's children is often a feature of non-grantor and "Super" CLTs. Naming the initial charitable income beneficiaries and deciding whether to grant some party a power to change the charitable income beneficiaries² should be guided by the donor's charitable goals.

The Non-Charitable Remainder Beneficiary. At least one CLT remainder beneficiary must be an individual, corporation, partnership, LLC, or trust. As noted above, the remainder beneficiary of a grantor CLT is typically the donor and/or the donor's spouse. Note that if the donor dies during a grantor CLT's term, then the grantor CLT's assets will be included in the donor's estate and the donor may be required to recapture a portion of the income tax charitable deduction previously claimed.³

In a non-grantor or "Super" CLT, the remainder beneficiaries are usually the donor's children and/or grandchildren. Non-grantor and "Super" CLTs are typically designed to remove all or a portion of the trust assets from the donor's taxable estate. Designing a non-grantor or "Super" CLT creates gift, estate, and/or GST tax ramifications that are discussed more fully below.

The donor may elect to include one or more charities as the default remainder beneficiary. For example, if all of the other named remainder beneficiaries die before the end of the CLT term, a charity may be the back-up remainder beneficiary.

It is important to remember that the remainder beneficiaries receive just that—the remainder. It is possible that the combination of the charitable payments and the investment performance will exhaust the trust. In this situation, the remainder is zero, which means the remainder beneficiaries will receive nothing from the CLT.

Types of Charitable Lead Trusts

To qualify for the charitable deduction, a CLT must specify that the income interest will be paid as a fixed amount (a Charitable Lead Annuity Trust, or CLAT) or paid as a fixed percentage of the trust's assets revalued annually (a Charitable Lead Unitrust, or CLUT). Note that neither a "net income" nor a "make-up" option is allowed. See Appendix A for a summary of IRS statistics on CLTs.

A second method of classifying charitable lead trusts is how they are taxed for income and transfer tax purposes. Unlike a CRT, a CLT is a taxable entity taxed under subchapter J. The type of CLT determines which party takes into account the CLT's items of income, deduction, and credit.

² Care must be exercised when granting this power to ensure that an express goal of removing the assets transferred to a CLT from the donor's estate is not compromised.

³ See IRC § 170(f)(2)(B) and Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-6(c)(4).

Grantor CLT. In the grantor format CLT, the donor is treated as the owner of the trust by operation of one or more of the grantor trust rules (IRC §§ 671-679). As a result, the donor can claim an income tax charitable deduction at inception for the present value of the charitable interest, but must include all items of income, deduction, and credit belonging to the trust in his or her personal income tax return (sometimes referred to as “phantom income” because the donor is not allowed to use the trust’s assets to pay the tax liability created by the trust’s income). However, if the donor fails to survive the trust term, then a portion of the income tax deduction claimed in the year of funding is recaptured on the donor’s final income tax return.⁴ No additional income tax deduction is allowed as the grantor CLT makes payments to the charitable income beneficiary. The most common method of making sure a CLT is a grantor CLT is for the donor to retain a greater than 5% reversionary interest (IRC § 673(a)).

Non-Grantor CLT. In the non-grantor format CLT, the CLT’s items of income, deduction, and credit are taken into account by the trust as they occur each year. Therefore, the donor is not permitted an income tax deduction. However, the trust is permitted the unlimited income tax charitable deduction provided by IRC § 642(c).⁵ Because the remainder beneficiary is usually someone other than the donor, a transfer tax deduction is allowed for the charitable interest. As a result, the non-grantor CLT is commonly used to reduce or eliminate the transfer tax on a transfer to the donor’s heirs.

Super CLT. The third (less common) format of CLT, sometimes referred to as the “Super” CLT, or grantor defective CLT, combines elements of both the grantor and non-grantor CLT format. Like the grantor CLT, the “Super” CLT’s items of income, deduction, and credit are included in the donor’s gross income, and therefore the donor is allowed an income tax deduction for the charitable interest. However, if the donor fails to survive the trust term, then a portion of the income tax deduction claimed in the year of funding is recaptured on the donor’s final income tax return.⁶ Like the non-grantor CLT, the “Super” CLT’s remainder beneficiary is typically the donor’s heirs, therefore the donor may claim a transfer tax deduction for the charitable interest.⁷ Extraordinary care must be exercised in drafting and administering a “Super” CLT to ensure the settlor realizes the expected tax benefits.

Designing the CLT

Select the Appropriate Payout Rate. When selecting the payout rate for a CLT, it is important to keep several key points in mind. First, unlike CRTs, CLTs have no minimum or maximum payout rate. Second, the payout rate is an integral part of the

⁴ IRC § 170(f)(2)(B).

⁵ The unlimited charitable deduction for CLTs is limited for each year in which the CLT has UBTI. See IRC §681.

⁶ IRC § 170(f)(2)(B).

⁷ The “Super” CLT requires both expert drafting and a commitment to operate the trust in accordance with the governing instrument. To date the authority for a “Super” CLT is limited to private letter rulings that cannot be relied upon by anyone other than the taxpayer that requested the ruling. See for example PLR 9224029, PLR 9247024, PLR 9407014, PLR 9810019, PLR 199922007, PLR 199936031, PLR 200010036, PLR 200747001.

calculation of the actuarial present value of the charitable interest (APVCI).⁸ Third, the 10% test applicable to CRTs does not apply to CLTs. Fourth, the higher the payout rate, the higher the APVCI.

The payout rate selected should coordinate with the donor's need for a charitable deduction, the charitable beneficiary's current and future cash flow requirements, and the expected value of the noncharitable remainder interest. For CLATs, a payout rate greater than the actual investment return will reduce the remainder interest. For CLUTs, a payout rate greater than the actual investment return will reduce the remainder interest and reduce the payments to the charitable income beneficiary. If the payout rate is greater than the applicable federal rate (AFR)⁹ and all other factors remain constant, the APVCI for a CLAT is greater than the APVCI for a CLUT.

Match the CLT Format to the Donor's Goals. The donor to a Grantor or "Super" CLT is allowed an income tax charitable deduction. The donor to a non-grantor or "Super" CLT is allowed a transfer tax charitable deduction. The right CLT format must be used to obtain the deduction the donor desires. Given the appropriate combination of charitable term, payout rate, and AFR, a CLAT can produce a 100% charitable deduction. The same result is only achievable for a CLUT with a lengthy charitable term and a double-digit payout rate. In the authors' experience, a significant majority of CLTs use the non-grantor, CLAT format.

Select the Appropriate Charitable Term. The term for a CLT may be for any fixed period of time without being limited to the 20-year rule applicable to CRTs.¹⁰ Alternatively, the CLT term may continue for the life of one or more persons. Permissible measuring lives include the donor, the donor's spouse, a lineal ancestor of all of the noncharitable remainder beneficiaries, or the spouse of a lineal ancestor of all of the noncharitable remainder beneficiaries.¹¹ For example, the measuring life could be the parent of the remainder beneficiaries. On the other hand, if the donor or donor's spouse is the measuring life, then the remainder beneficiaries do not have to be their lineal descendants. Note that where the term is a fixed period of time, there is no relational limitation on the identity of the noncharitable remainder beneficiaries.

Along with the payout rate and AFR, the CLT's charitable term is one of the most significant factors in determining the APVCI. The shorter the charitable term, the smaller the APVCI. Conversely, the longer the charitable term, the greater the APVCI. The amount of the APVCI is a primary CLT design consideration. Because the length of the term is one of the controllable inputs in the APVCI computation (along with the payout rate), the great majority of CLTs are designed to make the charitable payout for a term of years instead of for the lives of the donors. Table 1 below illustrates the impact of

⁸ The actuarial present value of the charitable interest is the amount that may be deducted for income tax purposes, transfer tax purposes, or both. It is discussed more fully below.

⁹ See IRC § 7520. The AFR is published monthly by the IRS in a Revenue Procedure issued around the twentieth of each month. The historically low AFRs during the past decade are one reason why CLTs are enjoying a renaissance in planned giving.

¹⁰ Note that in some states the rule against perpetuities will limit the length of the trust term.

¹¹ See Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-6(c)(2)(i)(A) for CLATs and Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-6(c)(2)(ii)(A) for CLUTs. There is a minor exception that permits non-lineal descendants as remainder beneficiaries if the probability that they will receive any assets does not exceed 15%.

various payout rates and charitable terms assuming a 3.0% AFR. Table 2 illustrates the impact of various payout rates and charitable terms assuming a 1.4% AFR.

Table 1. Charitable Deduction for CLATs at 3.0% AFR

(assumes an annual payment made at the end of each year)

Payout Rate	Length of Charitable Term in Years				
	5	10	15	20	30
4.00%	18.32%	34.12%	47.75%	59.51%	78.40%
5.00%	22.90%	42.65%	59.69%	74.39%	98.00%
6.00%	27.48%	51.18%	71.63%	89.27%	100.00%
7.00%	32.06%	59.71%	83.57%	100.00%	100.00%
8.00%	36.64%	68.24%	95.50%	100.00%	100.00%

Table 2. Charitable Deduction for CLATs at 1.40% AFR

(assumes an annual payment made at the end of each year)

Payout Rate	Length of Charitable Term in Years				
	5	10	15	20	30
4.00%	19.19%	37.08%	53.78%	69.36%	97.44%
5.00%	23.98%	46.36%	67.23%	86.70%	100.00%
6.00%	28.78%	55.63%	80.67%	100.00%	100.00%
7.00%	33.58%	64.90%	94.12%	100.00%	100.00%
8.00%	38.37%	74.17%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Note that a longer charitable term increases the likelihood the CLT trustee will need to invade principal to make the payments. Also, the APVCI can never exceed 100% of the assets transferred to a CLT.¹² Based on the numbers from Table 1 above, it is highly unlikely that a 20-year CLAT would be created with a payout rate of 7.00% or higher. While Table 1 illustrates deduction factors using percentages expressed as whole numbers (i.e., with no values to the right of the decimal point), in reality the payout rate in a typical CLT document will be a percentage extended to 3 or 4 decimal places based on an extensive financial analysis of the financial aspects of the CLT plan.

Match the CLT Format to the Charity's Needs. The CLT format, term, and payout rate selected may be important in complying with a charity's donor recognition or capital campaign recognition policies. Because a CLAT makes a level payment to the charitable income beneficiary, an irrevocably named charity can count on the regular cash flow in planning its programs. In contrast, the CLUT's variable payments may mean the charity cannot be as certain in forecasting the available cash flow for its programs.

¹² See Treas. Reg. §§ 1.170A-6(c)(3)(i) and (ii).

Consider the Prohibition on Self-Dealing. IRC § 4947(a)(2) prohibits CLTs from engaging in self-dealing transactions with disqualified persons. In general, a disqualified person includes the donor, the donor's family, the trustee, the trustee's family, any business entities or trusts in which these individuals have a greater than 35% interest, and an individual with a greater than 20% interest in any business entity or trust which is a substantial contributor.¹³ Further, self-dealing transactions include purchases, sales, leases, loans, excess compensation, and use of trust property.¹⁴ Several exceptions apply.¹⁵

Some common examples of prohibited activities include:

- The donor continuing to reside in a home she has transferred to a CLT;
- The donor's child purchasing property from the CLT; and
- The trustee making a loan from the CLT to a business enterprise he owns and operates.

Care should be exercised in the operation of a CLT because CLTs are not only associated with reducing or avoiding transfer taxes, but also with transferring specific assets to heirs. For example, if the donor's vacation home is transferred to a CLT (along with sufficient other assets to make the charitable payments), it is a prohibited act of self-dealing for the donor and/or the donor's heirs to use the home even if they pay fair market rent.

Consider the Effect of Unrelated Business Taxable Income. Certain types of assets that produce UBTI may limit a non-grantor CLT's IRC § 642(c) charitable deduction and therefore may be unsuitable for transfer to or investment by a non-grantor CLT.¹⁶ Common examples of UBTI producing assets include:

- An interest in an active trade or business (regardless of whether it is classified as a sole proprietorship, a general partnership interest, a limited partnership interest, or a LLC interest);
- A working interest in an oil and gas well; and
- Unrelated debt-financed income from trading on margin or other borrowing.

Because the grantor is taxed on all income generated by a grantor or "Super" CLT, there is no tax reason to avoid UBTI.

Drafting the CLT

Ensure the Document Drafted Supports the Plan Design. It is important to remember that a CLT is irrevocable. Therefore, it is critical to draft the document correctly the first time. An example of a good-plan-gone-bad is when the plan requires a CLUT (perhaps for GST reasons) and the document is drafted as a CLAT. Another example is when the plan is to avoid estate tax inclusion but the non-grantor CLT govern-

¹³ IRC § 4946(a)(1).

¹⁴ IRC § 4941(d)(1).

¹⁵ See IRC § 4941(d)(2)(B)-(H).

¹⁶ See IRC § 681(a).

ing instrument reserves to the donors the power to change the charities.¹⁷ This is an even greater danger when the attorney engaged to draft the CLT governing instrument was not involved in the entire planning process.

Application of IRC §§ 4943 and 4944. If the APVCI exceeds 60% of the fair market value on the date of transfer, then the CLT's governing instrument must include the prohibitions against excess business holdings and jeopardizing investments found in IRC §§ 4943 and 4944.¹⁸

Beware of Using Generic Form Documents. Sample CLT documents are available from a variety of sources including the IRS.¹⁹ Most books of trust forms have one or more sample documents. Often, continuing legal education seminars will include a copy of the presenter's standard form. Alternatively, it is common to borrow from colleagues that have previously drafted CLTs.

While it is tempting to use a document from one of the above sources and only change the names of the parties, the payout rate, and the term; the multitude of design variables (including trust format) makes this practice inadvisable. The authors have seen many CLTs that included conflicting provisions because the drafter failed to identify all of the edits necessary to convert a sample trust to one that conforms to the plan designed. Specific examples of errors include trust documents that:

- Refer to a different client and/or the wrong charitable income beneficiary;
- Permit the CLT to be amended as a charitable remainder trust;
- Define the payout in terms of both an annuity and unitrust amount;
- Include a net income provision;
- Include a makeup provision;
- Incorrectly specify multiple payout rates;
- Prohibit the trustee from accepting specific types of assets—including the proposed funding asset;
- Prohibit excess business holdings (IRC § 4943) when the charitable income interest is under 60% and the proposed funding asset is a large block of stock in a closely-held company;
- Directly authorize acts of self-dealing;
- Omit the power to change the charitable income beneficiary when the donor desires this power;
- Include the power to change the charitable income beneficiary when the donor does not desire this power, for example to qualify for donor recognition or gift matching; and
- Restrict the charitable income interest to public charities when the donor intended to create and fund a private family foundation.

¹⁷ See IRC §§ 2036(a) and 2038(a). See PLR 200328030 for an application of this principle.

¹⁸ See IRC § 4947(b)(3) and Treas. Reg. §§ 1.170A-(6)(c)(i)(D), 20.2055-2(e)(2)(vi)(e), & 25.2522(c)-3(c)(2)(vi)(e).

¹⁹ Rev. Proc. 2007-45, 2007-29 I.R.B. 89 (providing forms for inter vivos charitable lead annuity trusts); Rev. Proc. 2007-46, 2007-29 I.R.B. 102 (providing forms for testamentary charitable lead annuity trusts); Rev. Proc. 2008-45, 2008-30 I.R.B. 224 (providing forms for inter vivos charitable lead unitrusts); Rev. Proc. 2008-46, 2008-30 I.R.B. 238 (providing forms for testamentary charitable lead unitrusts).

IRS Sample CLT Form Documents. In August 2007, the IRS issued sample inter vivos and testamentary CLAT forms via Revenue Procedures 2007-45 and 2007-46. The following year, the IRS released the complementary CLUT versions via Revenue Procedures 2008-45 and 2008-46. As a general rule, the IRS' sample CLT form documents are well-drafted. Nevertheless, as noted above, the authors recommend caution when relying on another drafter's form document.

Adequately Define Principal and Income. Unless the governing instrument is drafted to include capital gains as an item of gross income from which the annuity or unitrust amount may be paid, a § 642(c) deduction will not be allowed for the portion allocable to capital gains.

If the CLT administrator prefers a particular principal and income definition, include that definition. The power to deviate from the state law default provisions is explicitly authorized by § 103(a)(3) of the Uniform Principal and Income Act of 1997²⁰ (as well as the 1931 and 1962 versions of the Model Act). Every state that has adopted any of the three versions of the Principal and Income Act has included a provision that defers to the governing instrument.

A CLT governing instrument may require that income in excess of the annuity or unitrust amount be paid to the charity.²¹

Additional Contributions. A CLT governing instrument must affirmatively allow or disallow additional contributions. Almost all CLATs disallow additional contributions because, in a CLAT, no additional charitable deduction can be claimed.²² This means the donor would owe transfer tax for the charitable interest as well as the remainder interest unless the additional contribution is treated as a separate trust. On the other hand, most CLUTs allow additional contributions in order to increase flexibility. Nevertheless, because the APVCI is likely to be less than at the inception of the trust, an otherwise permitted additional contribution to a CLUT may not produce the desired result. Therefore, a new CLUT may be a better option.

Authority not to Diversify. It is not uncommon for a non-grantor CLT plan to contemplate that the contributed asset will go to the heirs. Therefore, it is important that the trust's governing instrument include language that authorizes or directs the CLT trustee not to diversify that asset. It is important to remember that the Prudent Investor rule is a default rule and Section 1(b) of the Uniform Prudent Investor Act permits the prudent investor rule to be "expanded, restricted, eliminated, or otherwise altered by the provisions of a trust."²³ Extreme caution should be exercised if the trust instrument does not contain express authority to disregard the default duty to diversify since a New York court did surcharge a CLT trustee who failed to diversify the CLT's assets.²⁴

²⁰ Uniform Principal and Income Act, § 103(a)(3), available at <http://www.law.upenn.edu/bll/archives/ulc/upaia/2000final.pdf>.

²¹ See Treas. Reg. §§ 1.170A-6(c)(2)(i)(C) and (ii)(C).

²² Treas. Reg. §§ 1.170A-6(c)(2)(i); 20.2055-2(e)(2)(vi)(a); 25.2522(c)-3(c)(2)(vi)(a).

²³ Uniform Prudent Investor Act, § 1(b), available at <http://www.law.upenn.edu/bll/ulc/fnact99/1990s/upia94.pdf>.

²⁴ See *In re Estate of Rowe*, 712 N.Y.S.2d 662 (Sup. Ct. 2000).

Computing and Claiming the Income, Estate, and Gift Tax Charitable Deductions

The deduction allowed for a transfer to a CLT is equal to the APVCI. The APVCI is computed by multiplying a factor times the value of the asset transferred. Many inputs impact the computation of this factor. Among them are the expected term of the trust, the payout rate, the AFR, the trust format, and the frequency of the income payments.

This computation is complex and is generally performed using specialized software. Some websites do offer limited versions of calculation software on either a pay, free or trial basis.

For income tax purposes, the Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of the charitable deduction that individuals and C-corporations²⁵ can claim in any year to a percentage of their adjusted gross income. A CLT interest is considered to be a gift “for the use of”²⁶ charity, which means the donor can claim an income tax charitable deduction against no more than 30% of his or her AGI. To the extent that percentage limitations apply, any unused charitable deduction is carried forward for up to five years. The percentage limitations do not apply for gift, estate, or GST tax purposes.

In order to claim a charitable deduction, strict substantiation requirements must be met. For gifts of unmarketable assets that result in claiming or reporting a deduction greater than \$5,000, a qualified appraisal must be obtained.²⁷ Failure to obtain a qualified appraisal will generally result in the disallowance of the income tax charitable deduction and may result in the imposition of negligence and other penalties.

Operation and Administration

Making Proper Payments. Several potential traps exist regarding the proper amount to pay the income beneficiary. For example, a CLT must use the calendar year as its tax year.²⁸ As a result, it will have a short tax year in the first and last year of the trust. It is sometimes forgotten that, for any short year, it is necessary to prorate the annuity or unitrust payment. The proration is done on a daily basis. The proration factor has as its numerator the number of days in the short year, inclusive of the first day of the funding year and the last day of the final year. The denominator is 365, or 366 if February 29 is included in the numerator.

Examples of other traps include:

- Assuming that this year’s unitrust payment will be the same as last year’s payment;
- Failing to make the payments to the charitable income beneficiary; and
- The charitable income beneficiary’s failing to take possession of the payments (e.g., deposit the checks in the charity’s account).

²⁵ Gifts by other entities are beyond the scope of this handbook.

²⁶ See Treas. Reg. § 1.170A-8(a)(2).

²⁷ See Treas. Reg. §§ 1.170A-13(c)(1)(i), 1.170A-13(c)(2) and (3).

²⁸ See IRC §644.

One of the elements used to compute the actuarial present value of the charitable interest is the frequency with which charitable income beneficiary payments are to be made. While payments must be made at least annually, the trust's governing instrument may specify that payments are to occur more frequently than annually and that the payments occur at the beginning or end of the period. Making payments without regard to the timing specified in the CLT's governing instrument violates a key assumption used in computing the amount of the charitable deduction and may call into question the validity of the deduction. In addition, failure to observe and respect the provisions of the CLT's governing instrument may open the trustee to liability for breach of his or her fiduciary duty. It is therefore clearly advisable to not make payments in a manner contrary to the rules specified in the trust agreement.

Tax Reporting by the Donor. There are a few forms that a donor may be required to file with respect to his or her transfer to a CLT. If the CLT is a grantor or "Super" CLT (for which the donor is claiming an income tax charitable deduction) and the trust is funded with assets other than cash, then the donor may be required to attach a completed Form 8283 *Noncash Charitable Contributions* to the donor's Form 1040. Additionally, donors to grantor CLTs may need to file Form 1040-ES *Estimated Tax for Individuals* to make estimated payments of the tax liability arising from the inclusion of their grantor CLT's items of income, deduction, and credit on their income tax return. Finally, if the donor claims a charitable deduction for a non-cash gift that exceeds \$500,000, then the donor must attach a copy of the qualified appraisal to the tax return claiming the deduction.

If the CLT is an *inter vivos* non-grantor or "Super" CLT, the donor must file Form 709 *United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return* to report the transfer of both the remainder interest to the non-charitable beneficiary (including GST tax if applicable) and the charitable interest for gift tax purposes. Note that since August 6, 1997, it is clear that IRC § 6019 does not exempt charitable contributions to a CLT from the gift tax filing requirement. However, this is not without benefit because filing Form 709 starts the running of the statute of limitations on the valuation of the contributed asset for gift tax purposes (not for annuity or unitrust valuation purposes).

If a CLT is funded testamentarily, then the executor or personal representative must file Form 706 *United States Estate (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return* to claim the estate tax charitable deduction.

Tax Reporting by the CLT. Revenue Procedure 83-32 lists the filing requirements for CLTs. In general, all CLTs must annually file Form 5227 *Split-Interest Trust Information Return*. A copy of the trust document accompanied by a certification of its conformity to the original must be submitted with the initial Form 5227.

Grantor and "Super" CLTs must also follow the filing requirements peculiar to grantor trusts for reporting the trust's items of income, deduction, and credit to the donor. Where the trust is funded with joint property, the trust's items of income, deduction, and credit should be allocated between the grantors in proportion to their ownership interest in the property gifted to the CLT. Many practitioners prepare a Form 1041 *U.S. Income Tax Return for Estates and Trusts* with the name and address of the trust and trustee; attach a statement of income, deductions and credits; and superimpose a

stamp on the face of the return stating this is a grantor trust and that all income is taxable to the grantor under that grantor trust section of the Code. Because Form 5227 requires a taxpayer identification number (which is different from a social security number), grantor trust reporting methods that utilize the grantor's social security number are not available to grantor CLTs.

A non-grantor CLT must file Form 1041 *U.S. Income Tax Return for Estates and Trusts*.

Other potential filing requirements include:

Form 8283 *Noncash Charitable Contributions*. If the donor intends to claim an income tax charitable deduction, then a CLT trustee should sign the donee acknowledgement section of Form 8283.

Form 8282 *Donee Information Return*.²⁹ If Form 8283 was prepared for a contribution to the CLT and the contributed asset is sold within 3 years, then the CLT trustee must file Form 8282 to report the sale price of the asset. The return must be filed within 125 days of the disposition of the contributed property. Note that this provides a way for the IRS to compare the value used to claim the deduction with the amount realized by the CLT.

Form 4720 *Return of Certain Excise Taxes on Charities and Other Persons Under Chapters 41 and 42 of the Internal Revenue Code*. As previously noted, a CLT is subject to the private foundation rules regarding self-dealing. If a CLT has engaged in an act of self-dealing with a disqualified person, then Form 4720 is used to disclose the act, describe the corrective action taken, and compute the excise taxes on the foundation manager (i.e., the trustee) and the disqualified person.

Form 1041-ES *Estimated Income Tax for Estates and Trusts*. For non-grantor CLTs, the Form 1041-ES is used to make estimated payments of the tax liability due for the year.

Valuation. Unmarketable assets provide the greatest challenge in valuing a trust's assets. A CLT trustee will need to know the value of the trust's assets in a number of situations. For example:

- Valuing the initial contribution to the trust;
- Determining the annual valuation of the trust's assets and liabilities required to compute the unitrust amount;
- Valuing additional contributions for the incremental change in the unitrust amount; and
- Computing how much of an asset to transfer to make an in-kind distribution.

For CLTs, this is an area with limited authoritative guidance. Many administrators refer to the charitable remainder trust rules at Treas. Reg. § 1.664-1(a)(7).

Compliance. In order to ensure the continued qualification of a CLT, it is important for the trustee, the investment manager, the administrator, the attorney (and any

²⁹ See Treas. Reg. § 1.6050L-1.

other professional advisor) to be familiar with the laws and regulations governing CLTs and the terms of the governing instrument. All of the parties to a CLT must continually monitor the activity of the trust for compliance. Among the specific areas of concern are trustee powers, prohibited investments, self-dealing transactions, and (for non-grantor CLTs) transactions that could produce UBTI.

Annual Reporting. In some states, the trustee is required to make a periodic statement or accounting to the income beneficiaries, remainder beneficiaries, and/or a court. Charities that prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) are required to include certain information about charitable lead trusts. The type of information to be included depends on whether the charity serves as trustee (or not) and whether the charity's interest is revocable or irrevocable.

Investments

Prudent Investor Standard. In structuring a CLT's investment portfolio, the trustee must be aware of the standard under which his or her decisions may be evaluated. Since its promulgation in 1994, many states have enacted a version of the Uniform Prudent Investor Act. This act permits a trustee to invest for total return based on Modern Portfolio Theory. A trustee is therefore evaluated on the performance of a portfolio considered as a whole, rather than the performance of each individual asset.

Ensure the Investment Philosophy (i.e., the Risk Profile) is that of the CLT—not Just the Charitable Income Beneficiary and not Just the Remainder Beneficiary. By definition, a CLT is a split-interest trust. As such, the trustee has a duty to impartially balance the interests of the income and remainder beneficiaries. This duty extends to the identification of a risk profile that blends the relative risk profiles of the income and remainder beneficiaries. In identifying the CLT's risk profile, the trustee should consider such factors as the remaining term of the trust, the sophistication of the income and remainder beneficiaries, prevailing economic conditions, inflation, the required trust payout, the trust format and liquidity needs, tax efficiency (as described below), and restrictions in the governing instrument. The bottom line is that when an investment manager invests the assets for more than one CLT, they should not necessarily be invested the same way.

Tax Efficiency and Grantor and "Super" CLTs. In selecting investments for grantor and "Super" CLTs, it is necessary for the trustee to be aware of the impact that income produced by the trust will have on the donor. For example, tax loss harvesting by the CLT can be used to offset other gains realized by the donor (and vice versa).

For Non-Grantor CLTs, Be a Slave to the § 642(c) Deduction. For non-grantor CLTs, it is important to carefully monitor the production of taxable income and net realized capital gains compared with the IRC § 642(c) charitable deduction for a particular tax year. It is highly desirable to match the realized investment return to the payout rate. Generate too little realized income and some of the charitable deduction will be wasted. Generate too much realized income and the trust will owe income tax.

For example, if a CLT needs to make a \$70,000 charitable distribution this year, but the trust has realized only \$64,000 of taxable income and net capital gains, the in-

vestment manager should seek prudent methods of generating additional income or realizing gains (even short-term gains).

Make Sure the Person Picking the Investments Knows the Rules. It is important that the person selecting the CLT investment portfolio is aware of the rules peculiar to CLTs. For example, assets that produce UBTI should be avoided in non-grantor CLTs. It is important to avoid transactions that are subject to the IRC § 4941 excise taxes on self-dealing. If the initial charitable interest is greater than 60%, then the trust must avoid excess business holdings (IRC § 4943) and jeopardizing investments (IRC § 4944).

Gift, Estate, and GST Tax Considerations

General Comments. A charitable lead trust should not pay the estate tax or gift tax of the donor because it is a prohibited act of self-dealing. The best practice is for a CLT's governing instrument to prohibit the payment of gift or estate taxes during the charitable term. Although Revenue Ruling 82-128 does not specifically address CLTs, most practitioners believe that the inclusion of this provision helps the charitable income interest to qualify as a guaranteed annuity or unitrust interest.

Gift Tax. If the donor or the donor's spouse is not a recipient of the remainder interest (e.g., the donor's children are the named recipients in a non-grantor or "Super" CLT) and the APVCI is less than 100%, then the donor has made a taxable gift. The amount of the gift is the value of the property transferred less the APVCI. Because it is a gift of a future interest, this gift will not qualify for the annual gift tax exclusion. As a result, the donor's lifetime gift tax applicable exclusion amount is reduced or gift tax is due.

If the CLT is properly designed, no gift tax will be due for the gift of the charitable interest.

Estate Tax. If the donor dies during the charitable term of a grantor CLT, the CLT's fair market value will be included in the donor's gross estate. The estate will receive a charitable deduction for the remaining APVCI calculated as of the donor's date of death.

Among the purposes of an *inter vivos* non-grantor or "Super" CLT is removing the CLT's assets from the donor's estate. To achieve this result, provisions such as the following are typically not included:

- Donor or donor's spouse serves as Trustee;
- Donor or donor's spouse being named as a beneficiary;
- Donor or donor's spouse retaining the power to change the charitable income beneficiary; and
- Donor or donor's spouse retaining the power to change the remainder beneficiary;

As a reminder, any one of these items—by itself—is sufficient to cause possible estate tax inclusion. When included in an *inter vivos* non-grantor or "Super" CLT, the

assets will be in the donor's gross estate if the donor dies during the charitable term or within three years of relinquishing the power.

As with all estate tax computations, if the gross estate (including the CLT assets and other lifetime gifts) is valued at less than the estate tax applicable exclusion amount, the inclusion of the CLT assets will not create an estate tax liability. For estates whose value exceeds the estate tax applicable exclusion amount, a testamentary CLT is a viable strategy for reducing the value of the estate below the limit, while providing a benefit to the donor's spouse, heirs, and charity. Note that the fact that the gross estate, net of the estate tax charitable deduction from funding a CLT, is less than the estate tax applicable exclusion amount does not eliminate the requirement to file Form 706.

Generation-Skipping Transfer Tax. Planning for allocation of a donor's GST exemption is an important design consideration for CLTs that may benefit grandchildren or other skip-persons.³⁰ When a CLT includes a generation-skipping transfer, it is possible for the donor to allocate all, or a portion, of his or her GST exemption.

If the donor's GST exemption³¹ is to be allocated to the CLT, then care should be exercised in selecting the trust format (i.e., annuity format versus unitrust format). While the GST inclusion ratio for CLUTs is ascertainable as of the funding date, through an oddity in the tax code,³² the GST inclusion ratio for CLATs cannot be ascertained until the lead interest terminates. This uncertainty causes most planners to recommend CLUTs when skip-persons are remainder beneficiaries of a CLT.

As a final comment on GST and CLTs, the GST tax will not apply to a skip-person's portion of the remainder interest if the intervening generation predeceases *funding* of the CLT.³³ As a consequence, for testamentary CLTs the executor should be granted discretion to create separate CLTs for skip-persons and non-skip persons and allocate the GST exemption accordingly.

Post-mortem Planning with CLTs

As noted above, the AFR is an essential component in determining the APVCI of a CLT. Unfortunately, the AFR for testamentary CLTs is almost always a variable that cannot be known until the donor's death. Some donors find comfort in learning that it is possible to give the executor a direct instruction to create a CLT while still granting the executor enough discretion to choose the appropriate payout rate and charitable term. It is important to keep the discretion sufficiently limited so that the charitable deduction is not lost. A best practice for testamentary CLT planning is to use a formula clause so that the charitable interest can be determined with certainty as of the donor's death.³⁴

³⁰ See IRC §2613 for the definition of a skip person.

³¹ The lifetime GST exemption is \$5,000,000 for 2011 and \$5,120,000 for 2012. See IRC § 2623(c), and Rev. Proc. 2011-52, § 3.29. The GST exemption is scheduled to return to \$1,000,000 beginning in 2013.

³² See IRC §2642(e).

³³ See IRC §2651(e). Note this exception does not help if the intervening generation dies after the CLT is funded.

³⁴ See Treas. Reg. §20.2055-2(e)(2)(vi)(a).

Disclaimer Planning with CLTs

Opportunities exist for donors to use disclaimer planning to create alternative scenarios in their estate plan. For example, a donor's will could provide that \$4 million be transferred outright to her spouse, however if the spouse disclaims the interest,³⁵ then the \$4 million would be transferred to a CLT for the benefit of a named charity and their children.

Benefits to Donors

The charitable lead trust is a useful tool in the gift planner's toolbox. While less familiar than CRTs, CLTs are flexible enough to meet many charitable, financial, and non-financial goals for donors. For example, CLTs can:

- Reduce income, gift, estate, and/or generation-skipping transfer taxes
- Transfer money, stock, and/or illiquid assets to heirs
- Maximize charitable giving
- Avoid the adjusted gross income limitations on the deductibility of charitable gifts
- Obtain an income tax charitable deduction for gifts to foreign charities
- Plan the donor's estate
- Preserve wealth for the family

A CLT can empower donors to realize charitable goals that seem beyond their reach. For example, a CLT may enable a donor to commit to a multi-year pledge to the local hospital's capital campaign, while retaining ultimate use of the principal.

³⁵ See IRC §2518.

Appendix A

IRS Charitable Lead Trust Statistics³⁶

Dollar amounts are in thousands of dollars

Tax Year	Number of CLTs	CLT Net Asset Value
2000	4,571	\$10,810,272
2001	5,292	\$15,075,433
2002	5,481	\$12,781,399
2003	5,658	\$12,318,893
2004	6,168	\$15,500,073
2005	6,298	\$16,485,658
2006	6,377	\$18,093,904
2007	6,521	\$19,648,472
2008	6,626	\$18,274,043
2009	6,609	\$19,338,914

³⁶ Source: IRS Statistics of Income Report Tables <http://www.irs.gov/taxstats/charitablestats/index.html>.